

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,819	03/10/2004	Richard Anderson	AND001USU	6043
45180 7	590 , 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
GRIMES & BATTERSBY, LLP 488 MAIN AVENUE, THIRD FLOOR			WELCH, GARY L	
NORWALK,	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3765	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/797,819	ANDERSON, RICHARD		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gary L. Welch	3765		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address		
THE - Extended after - If the results of the result	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	<u> 1arch 2004</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicat	tion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
	•	•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>05252004</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/797,819

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because:

The drawings do not contain lines that are uniform and clean, shading is too dark and the reference numbers and reference lines are not clear and concise.

Figure 6 shows a side view of the instant invention. However, the figure appears to be a straight line.

Reference number 28 (disclosed on page 6, line 13) is not shown in the figures.

Figure 7 illustrates reference number "30". It appears that "30" should be changed to --28--

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Application/Control Number: 10/797,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It appears that the following changes are required:

Page 7, line 4: Change "30" to --28--

Page 7, line 7: Change "time" to --necktie--

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim requires two buttons on the rear surface of the wide end are to be separated by a distance of 7 inches. A review of applicant's specification does not reveal the claimed distance and therefore the claims lack antecedent basis with the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Begg (U.S. 4,972,523).

Art Unit: 3765

Begg discloses a necktie 12 removably attachable to a button-down shirt having a row of buttons 16 disposed down the front thereof. The necktie 12 is a single elongated article of clothing having a wide end 12b with front and rear surfaces and a narrow end 12a with front and rear surfaces. First attachment means 10b are provided on the rear surface of the wide end 12b and the front surface 20 of the narrow end 12a so as to attach the wide end 12b to the narrow end 12a. The first attachment means 10b is not visible on the front surface of the wide end.

Second attachment means (22, 22a) is provided on the rear surface of the narrow end to attach the rear surface of the narrow end 12a to the row of buttons 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Begg (U.S. 4,972,523).

Begg discloses the invention substantially as claimed above.

However, Begg does not disclose that the first attachment means comprises at least one first attachment button disposed on the front surface of the narrow end and a plurality of corresponding first attachment button holes disposed on the rear surface of the wide end.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,819

Art Unit: 3765

A review of applicant's specification does not reveal any criticality for the claimed configuration (i.e., why is a button and button hole better than any other attachment type?). Additionally, applicant's specification states that hook and loop fasteners are functionally equivalent to buttons and button holes (page 6, lines 14-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the hook and loop fasteners of Begg with button and button holes since it is well known that button and button holes and hook and loop fasteners are functionally equivalent in securing a section of one garment to another.

With regard to claims 3 and 4, the invention is disclosed in the above rejection for the same reasons.

With regard to claim 5, as stated above, the claimed limitation is not supported in the specification. However, if supported, one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation would have found it obvious to provide the claimed separation distance between buttons in order to provide a predetermined level of adjustability.

With regard to claim 6, one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation would have found it obvious to provide the claimed length of each button hole and spacing between each button hole in order to provide a predetermined level of adjustability of the necktie/shirt connection and for accommodating a predetermined button size. The applicant's specification is

silent regarding the claimed limitations except that it provides sufficient adjustability (i.e., no discussion regarding that the claimed values are better than any other value or values).

With regard to claim 7, the invention is disclosed in one or more of the above rejected claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brunetti et al. '033, Schreter '273, Waterbury '452, Gleason '055, Miller '553, Prince, Jr. '576, Swain '579, Jones '546, Soll '538, McNamara '200, Shiffler '587 and Knoll '292 disclose various necktie restraining devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Welch whose telephone number is (571) 272-4996. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 5:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,819

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765

glw